Approved For Release 2002/05/02 : CIA-RDP82-00357R000600080034-5

1 February 1971

	MEMORANDUM FOR:		Director of Personnel				
	THROUGH	ı	Deputy Director of Personnel for Plans and Control				
25X1A	Subject	\$	Kanagement of Supergrade Personnel				

- 1. You asked for comment on Mr. Wattles' observations about the referent regulation and the implications of assignments of non-supergrade employees to supergrade positions.
- 2. Mr. Watthen' point is quite valid in spite of our long established practices of under-slotting and PMA assignments to lower grades. We must recognize too that this observation is also valid at all other grade levels today.
- 3. As you know, the Agency's assignment concepts developed when our work force was considerably smaller than our authorized position requirements. Under those circumstances, it was necessary to shift employees about within the position attructure to those assignments where they were most needed from them to time. This produced the philosophy of a loose relationship between an employee's calary and the pay grade of his assigned position. It was not unusual for employees to be assigned to positions 3 and even 4 or more grades higher or lower than their own grades until as recently as 1965 when regulations first limited assignments to a two-grade spread above and below the grade of the individual concerned.
- h. How when the conduty strongth of most components equals or exceeds their authorized positions, the relationship between an employee's grade and the grade of his position is far more direct. In this situation assignment actions carry much stronger implications. As we adjust to this change, it makes less and less sense for one employee to continue to be paid at a higher level than the grade of the position he holds while at the same time another similar employee is paid at a lower level than the grade of his assigned position. Associate that the Agency will continue to operate at or near ceiling, same gradent must give more attention to the implications of these relationships between the pay status of employees and the grades of their canigned positions.
- 5. Mr. Wattles is entirely correct in observing that "the assignment of a non-supergrade can to a supergrade position at -

Approved For Release 2002/05/02 C THE DP82-00357R000600080034-5

١

Approved For Release 2002 15 03 - 014 R0 82-0035 R000600080034-5

25X1A	least carries the implication that consideration for promotion to supergrade will sensitive occur." In direct response to that point, I recommend that be changed as indicated in the attached proposal. While the Director of Personnel is generally responsible for reviewing assignment actions, this change would strengthen his hand by highlighting this responsibility regarding assignments of non-supergrade employees to supergrade positions.
	6. In considering the broader problem of the relationship between employees and their positions at all grade levels, I believ it is time to establish Agency-wide limits on the duration of under clotting and PRA assignments to ensure appropriate management reviewed action in such cases. I recommend that Agency regulations be changed so as:
,	e. to allow two-grade under-slotting and PAA's in over- seam essignments only for the duration of those assignments;
	b. to limit under-slotting in Headquarters assignments to one-year; and,
	c. to limit PM assignments in Headquarters to two years- the same period for which salary retention is alloyable when an employee is charged to loser grade through no fault of his own.
!	7. With your approval we shall initiate action to implement regulatory changes as recommended in paragraphs 5 and 6.
	Chief, Seview Staff Office of Pyrsonmel
	A4-6
	The recommendations in paragraphs 5 and 6 are approved.
	DEFOCION DE L'ANDENNE DE L'ANDENNE DE L'ANDENNE DE L'ANDENNE DE L'ANDENNE DE L'ANDERNE DE L'ANDE
÷	Distribution: Orig. & 2 - Addressee (Orig. for return to Review Staff)
• • •	l DD/Pers/P&C =
25X1A9a	OP/RSApproved For Release 2002/05/02 : CIA-RDP82-00357R000600080034-5

25X1A9a

_ - - _ _ -

1	SENDER WILL CHECK CLASS CATION		
	UNCLASSIFIED NFIDEN	NTIAL	SECRET
	OFFICIAL ROUTING	G SLIP	
то	NAME AND ADDRESS	DATE	INITIALS
1	Sob-	(
2			
3	CIRS	3 Man	1990
4	arol)		
5	Manage	4 Sug	rate
6	Remos	1,0	
	ACTION DIRECT REPLY	PREPARE REPLY	
	APPROVAL DISPATCH	RECOMMENDATION RETURN	
	COMMENT FILE CONCURRENCE INFORMATION	SIGNAT	
	ONOUNENDE INIONIMATION	1 Jointa	<u> </u>
ı	Paragraphs 3, Trepresent my on the PRA Su another that Ha	y,6, view Ged.	you'll
1	Arealade bis guing	sever	Leened Consider
1 1 1 1	the S Greview 1 Freshole bis ging the boader is see	sever	Lemas Considera
1 1 X	Arechde bis ging	SENDER	Considera Considera DATE 25 MU SECRET

25X1A9a